



Is rapid cooling step needed

Intellectual Property Notice: The Biopharma business of GE Healthcare was acquired by Danaher on 31 March 2020 and now operates under the Cytiva™ brand. Certain collateral materials (such as application notes, scientific posters, and white papers) were created prior to the Danaher acquisition and contain various GE owned trademarks and font designs. In order to maintain the familiarity of those materials for long-serving customers and to preserve the integrity of those scientific documents, those GE owned trademarks and font designs remain in place, it being specifically acknowledged by Danaher and the Cytiva business that GE owns such GE trademarks and font designs.

cytiva.com

GE and the GE Monogram are trademarks of General Electric Company.
Other trademarks listed as being owned by General Electric Company contained in materials that pre-date the Danaher acquisition and relate to products within Cytiva's portfolio are now trademarks of Global Life Sciences Solutions USA LLC or an affiliate doing business as Cytiva.
Cytiva and the Drop logo are trademarks of Global Life Sciences IP Holdco LLC or an affiliate.
All other third-party trademarks are the property of their respective owners.
© 2020 Cytiva
All goods and services are sold subject to the terms and conditions of sale of the supplying company operating within the Cytiva business. A copy of those terms and conditions is available on request. Contact your local Cytiva representative for the most current information.
For local office contact information, visit [cytiva.com/contact](https://www.cytiva.com/contact)



Is rapid cooling step needed

Freezing cells for cryopreservation

Successful cell cryopreservation requires a controlled cooling rate. Cooling too rapidly or too slowly will lead to a poorer outcome. This is true because of the physical environment cells experience during cryopreservation. As ice forms in the extracellular solution, pure water is locked away as ice. As a result, cells are suspended in an increasingly concentrated solution, which dehydrates them. Cooling too slowly makes this solution toxic; cooling too rapidly prevents cells from dehydrating sufficiently, and intracellular ice forms.

Controlled-rate freezers, such as the electric-powered VIA Freeze™ series or liquid nitrogen (LN₂)-based freezers, are often programmed for linear cooling. A subset of researchers using LN₂-based freezers prefer to interrupt the linear cooling to include a “rapid-cooling nucleation step,” which they believe is needed for successful cryopreservation. This step is hypothesized to improve ice nucleation – an important event for good post-thaw outcomes. A typical cooling profile for linear cooling with a rapid-cooling nucleation step is shown in Figure 1.




Fig 1. A linear 2°C/min cooling profile compared with a typical profile that includes a rapid-cooling nucleation step (1).

This study explores how a rapid-cooling nucleation step affects viable cell count and activity of four cell lines. Three conditions were tested: linear-rate freezing in a VIA Freeze system; linear-rate freezing in an LN₂-based freezer; and linear-rate freezing in an LN₂-based freezer, interrupted by a rapid-cooling step.

Experimental design

Cells in cryovials (1 mL fill volume) were cooled at 2°C/min from 4°C to -60°C in two controlled-rate freezers – VIA Freeze system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and a conventional LN₂-based freezer (Kryo 560, Planar). A third freezing method in this same LN₂-based freezer incorporated the rapid-cooling nucleation protocol from Table 1. Five cryovial samples, with 10% DMSO as cryoprotectant, were used for each condition. In all experiments, samples were plunged into LN₂ when the temperature reached -60°C. The cryovials were stored below -140°C for at least 24h, and then thawed. Thawed cells were placed into cell culture medium and allowed to grow in a standard humidified CO₂ incubator at 37°C.

Table 1 lists the corresponding cooling rate sequence that was programmed into the LN₂-based controlled-rate freezer.

Table 1. Programmed parameters for experiment that includes rapid-cooling nucleation step

Step	Cooling rate	Temperature range
1	-2°C/min	4°C to -8°C
2	-35°C/min	-8°C to -28°C
3	-2.5°C/min	-28°C to -35°C
4	+2.5°C/min	-35°C to -28°C
5	-2°C/min	-28°C to -60°C

Four cell lines were examined: Chinese hamster ovary (CHO); HepG2 (human liver); MG-63 (human bone); and Jurkat (human suspension immune) cells. Viable cell count after thawing was determined using a Cytell Cell Imaging System (GE). An alamarBlue™ bioassay was performed using a Tecan™ plate reader to evaluate metabolic function of cells after 3 h incubation. These functional tests were carried out at 24, 48, and 72 h of culture post-thaw.




Fig 2. Post-thaw results for HepG2 cells. Cryovials were assessed 24, 48, and 72 h of culture post-thaw. (A) viable cell count, and (B) alamarBlue functionality, $n = 5 \pm \text{SD}$.




Fig 3. Post-thaw results for CHO cells. Cryovials were assessed 24, 48, and 72 h of culture post-thaw. (A) viable cell count, and (B) alamarBlue functionality, $n = 5 \pm \text{SD}$.

Results from different freezing methods

Figure 2 shows no significant difference in post-thaw results between HepG2 cells cooled in a LN₂-based freezer with or without a rapid-cooling nucleation step included. Furthermore, there is no significant difference in results using either freezer system and a linear cooling rate. The same pattern was observed with CHO cells (Fig 3), as well as Jurkat and MG-63 cells (data not shown, to keep the article brief).

Conclusions

In this study, including a rapid-cooling nucleation step in the LN₂-based freezer did not improve post-thaw results for the four tested cell lines. No literature was found to support the hypothesis that a rapid-cooling step to induce ice nucleation is beneficial for cryopreservation.

Using VIA Freeze system for freezing cells

In addition to demonstrating that a rapid-cooling step is unnecessary, the study shows comparable results using LN₂-based and VIA Freeze systems programmed with a linear cooling rate. Although cell viability and function are expected to be similar, the VIA Freeze system offers several advantages over LN₂-based controlled-rate freezers. Liquid nitrogen is not used for cooling, which avoids the associated contamination risks and supports GMP compatibility. Furthermore, using a VIA Freeze system is expected to reduce operating costs.

Reference

1. Diener B *et al.* A method for the cryopreservation of liver parenchymal cells for studies of xenobiotics. *Cryobiology* **30**, 116–127 (1993).

gelifesciences.com/cryo

GE, the GE Monogram, and VIA Freeze are trademarks of General Electric Company.

Tecan is a trademark of Tecan Group, Ltd. All other third party trademarks are the property of their respective owner.

© 2019 General Electric Company

All goods and services are sold subject to the terms and conditions of sale of the company within GE Healthcare which supplies them.

A copy of those terms and conditions is available on request. Contact your local GE Healthcare representative for the most current information.

GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Björkgatan 30, 751 84 Uppsala, Sweden

GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., 100 Results Way, Marlborough, MA 01752, USA

GE Healthcare Europe GmbH, Munzinger Strasse 5, D-79111 Freiburg, Germany

GE Healthcare Japan Corp., Sanken Bldg., 3-25-1, Hyakunincho Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-0073, Japan

GE Healthcare UK Ltd., Amersham Place, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, HP7 9NA, UK

For local office contact information, visit gelifesciences.com/contact.

KA5524171218AN JB62213US