# **Computational simulation as a predictive tool** for bioreactor design and performance

Andreas Castan<sup>1</sup>, Ken Clapp<sup>2</sup>, Melissa Semple<sup>2</sup>, and Nagaraj Rao<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cytiva, Uppsala, Sweden; <sup>2</sup>Cytiva, Marlborough, USA; <sup>3</sup>Cytiva, Bangalore, India.

## Abstract

The biopharma industry is experiencing multiple challenges, such as cost pressure and the development of new product classes.

As a result, shorter timelines, fast scale-up, and a focus on time to market are of great importance.

In addition, process intensification, the broad use of perfusion processes, and non-mammalian expression systems put new requirements on designing high-performing, scalable, and modality-agnostic bioreactors.

# Results

## **CFD** Phase 1: Confirmed 200 L tank geometry and CFD<sub>I-I</sub> model

- Value of CFD<sub>I-I</sub> model fit for immediate use in design – can use for impeller geometry screening
- Identified need for additional CFD<sub>g-1</sub> model tuning – Phase 2



In this study, we have leveraged computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to design and select the agitator and sparger for a nextgeneration bioreactor.

Further, we have used simulations to predict and minimize the shear stress and concentration gradients in the bioreactor.



Fig 2. CFD model from Phase 1 describing the liquid-liquid mixing.

## CFD Phase 2 A+B: Confirmed 200 L system performance and CFD<sub>a-1</sub> model

- CFD<sub>a-1</sub> model performing for torque and k<sub>L</sub>a within ± 20% of empirical for final sparge and impeller chosen with acceptable exceptions
- 200 L bioreactor design performs to design expectations for target k<sub>1</sub> a using final sparge and impeller designs





Fig 1. Outline of stages in co-development of product and CFD model.

# **CFD** as a tool to capture the geometry and application of bioreactors

### Cytiva agile ways of working

- Goal of co-developing the CFD with design of new bioreactor product
- Outcome expected to improve speed to market by reducing material consumption & personnel
- Intention of using CFD to screen interim bioreactor design elements (impeller, sparge, baffles)

#### Fig 3. Bubble size analysis to assess sparge options.

Goal:

#### Fig 4. Model grid size impact on gas holdup distribution.

Fig 5. Confirmed 200 L system performance and CFD<sub>a-1</sub> model.

## **CFD** Phase 3: **CFD**<sub>a-1</sub> model and new bioreactor performance





#### **Customer agile ways of working**

- Improve selection of bioreactor for cell line needs and operational parameters
- Provide visualizations of bioreactor environments
- Present quantitative evidence of shear and turbulence for understanding of process conditions
- Reduce process development/scale-up design of experiment conditions required in wet-test

## Conclusions

- The final CFD model was found to be extremely useful to accelerate bioreactor product development
- Bioreactor performance parameters optimized (k, a, shear rate, and turbulence) relevant to process applications
- Using 200 L and 2000 L sizes, CFD models and wet-test results confirm scalability
- CFD characterization provides deep understanding to inform design

## cytiva.com

Cytiva and the Drop logo are trademarks of Life Sciences IP Holdings Corp. or an affiliate doing business as Cytiva. © 2022 Cytiva For local office contact information, visit cytiva.com/contact.

CY28965-21Jun22-PT

